



TITLE:

Dimension of Product Spaces (Shape Theory and Topological Spaces)

AUTHOR(S):

KODAMA, YUKIHIRO

CITATION:

KODAMA, YUKIHIRO. Dimension of Product Spaces (Shape Theory and Topological Spaces). 数理解析研究所講究録 1981, 445: 19-21

ISSUE DATE:

1981-12

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/102892>

RIGHT:

Dimension of product spaces

Yukihiro Kodama

Department of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

For a topological space X , we denote by $\dim X$ the covering dimension of X in the sense of Katetov. Consider the following relations.

- (I) $\dim (X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$
- (II) $\dim (X \times Y) > \dim X$
- (III) $\dim (X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$

For the relation (I), B.A.Pasynkov proved the following beautiful theorem.

Theorem 1. [4] If the product space $X \times Y$ is rectangular, then the relation (I) holds.

This is a very strong theorem. In almost all cases where it was proved that the relation (I) held, the product $X \times Y$ was rectangular. Hence the following Yajima's theorem is interesting.

Theorem 2. [5] Let X be a collectionwise normal space which has a σ -closure-preserving closed cover by m -compact sets and Y be a subparacompact space with $\chi(Y) \leq m$. If $X \times Y$ is normal, then (I) holds.

Note that $X \times Y$ is not generally rectangular in Theorem 2.

Anderson and Keisler [1] constructed a separable metric

space X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with the following property: $\dim X_n^k = n$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, where X_n^k is the k -fold product of X_n . Thus the following problem is interesting.

Problem 1. For a paracompact space X and a compact space Y with $\dim Y > 0$, does the relation (II) hold?

A partial answer to Problem 1 holds.

Theorem 3 [3] If X is paracompact and Y is compact such that $\dim Y > 0$ and the 1-dimensional Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^1(Y) = 0$, then (II) holds.

Corollary. If Y is a non-degenerate ASR, that is, a compact space with trivial shape, then (II) holds for every paracompact space X .

Problem 2. (K.Borsuk) If Y is a compact ANR, then does (III) hold for every paracompact space X ?

It is known [2] that if Y is a 2-dimensional compact ANR, then (III) holds for every paracompact space X .

Problem 3. If Y is a continuous curve, then does (III) hold for every paracompact space X .

The following partial answer to Problem 3 is known.

Theorem 4 [3] If Y is a movable curve, then (III) holds for every paracompact space X .

References

- [1] R.D.Anderson and J.E.Keisler, An example in dimension theory, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.,18(1967),709-713.
- [2] Y.Kodama, Cohomological dimension theory, Appendix:Dimension theory, K.Nagami, Academic Press, 1970.
- [3] Y.Kodama, A remark on the dimensional fullvaluedness, Proc. Japan Acad.,53(1977), 113-115.

- [4] B.A.Pasynkov, Factorization theorems on dimension theory,
YCTEX MAT.NAUK, 1981:36:3(219), 147-175.
- [5] Y.Yajima, Topological games and products II, to appear in
Fund.Math.